HUMAN EVENTS

Vol. XIII, No. 20 Saturday, May 19, 1956 Washington, D. C.

News Section *Printed in Two Sections*

As Seen From Capitol Hill: Rolling out the red carpet of American hospitality for Premier Sukarno of Indonesia is simply giving anti-American and appeasement forces a propaganda vantage point — such is the rather bitter reaction among many Members of Congress to the ceremonies welcoming the pro-Red Sukarno, who began his visit by demanding the UN recognition of Red China. Some members of the legislative body perceive in the visit just one more part of the pattern of indoctrination designed to brain-wash the American public in preparation for the reported "big sellout," to Red China, planned for November, right after the election. (See last week's HUMAN EVENTS news story, "Shape of Things to Come.") Sukarno will be followed — it is expected — by Moscow's satellite Nehru of India; then next in line will be the Communist dictator of Yugoslavia, Marshal Tito; and finally, if by that time American resistance is sufficiently weakened — Khrushchev and Bulganin themselves, who have been seeking an invitation to visit America.

● The motive behind Moscow's claim that it will cut its armed forces by 1.2 million men is "pressure from below" — according to many on Capitol Hill. Those taking this view agree with Eugene Lyons, author of the HUMAN EVENTS' article of last week on the shift in Soviet tactics, who believes that changes in Moscow's tune are dictated not by any change of heart among the Soviet rulers but by remorseless pressure from the discontented people of the Soviet Union. This week, Mr. Lyons, commenting on the alleged Soviet arms cut, suggests that it is just another evidence of the Kremlin's attempt to "mollify" the restive spirit of the Soviet population. He notes, in this category also, the Soviet profession that it will abolish slave labor camps; they won't do it, of course, but the announcement would be a verbal concession to popular opposition to such barbarous institutions.

● The Democrats have made some progress with their drive to make the state of Ike's health a campaign issue, at least insofar as the right-wing of the GOP is concerned. These Republicans, it is true, find no factual confirmation of the Democratic story about Ike — that he will withdraw before the convention because of his heart condition. The story can't be proved, but neither can it be disproved. So the cancer of suspicion grows in the minds of conservative Republicans — suspicion that the long-drawn out business of Ike making up his mind about whether to run or not was actually calculated by his Dewey advisers as a "holding" operation, to prevent the rise of a really conservative candidate for the nomination. Thus, the Democrats have succeeded in promoting and feeding suspicion in Republican ranks.

● There's more than meets the eye in the victory of Senator Lyndon Johnson and Speaker Sam Rayburn in the Texas primary — say members who have good sources of information in the situation and relay to us the following version: Shivers was beaten, in part, because conservatives and states-righters (who he had expected to support him) turned their back on him on election day. They did not like several recent speeches he had made lauding President Eisenhower. In 1952, such speeches did him no harm. But in 1956, the situation had changed; the desegregation decree had taken place and Ike vetoed the oil and gas bill (cherished by Texans). These were two developments, at least, which alienated states' rights and conservative elements. The power and spirit of these elements — so observers tell us — has by no means dissipated with the defeat of Shivers; they will assert themselves, it is predicted, before or during the Democratic national convention in August.

● No damaging evidence against Murray Chotiner, former campaign aide to Vice President

Published weekly at 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C.
Copyright 1956 by Human Events, Inc.

Nixon, has been really developed during the Democratic probe of this figure. Hence the attempted Democratic smear of Nixon has largely misfired. However, some people on Capitol Hill, watching this political show, wonder if only the Democrats are interested in this endeavor. They speculate that much of the talk against Chotiner was fed out by the "Dewey network," that is, the large number of officials in the Republican regime who owe their appointments to the great influence of the ex-Governor of New York. While officially the attitude is that Nixon's hold on the second place is assured, there are many dissenters to this claim on Capitol Hill. They believe that the clandestine psychological warfare against Nixon is going on, inspired by followers of Dewey, and will go on until the decision is made at the GOP convention in August.

Democratic Evolution (Cont'd.): The line now is drawn for the battle at the Democratic national convention. Northern "liberals," as exemplified by the far-left Americans for Democratic Action, are out to take over the Democratic party nationally — lock, stock and barrel — and to make southern conservatives either knuckle under to their brand of thinking or get out.

As the first step, they plan to force through the national convention in August, a drastic, no-compromise civil rights' plank which will be totally unacceptable to any true southerner. Neither a compromise platform nor a compromise candidate (including, specifically, Texas' Senator Lyndon Johnson) will be accepted by these ultra-liberals.

That is the program laid down by ADA in its annual convention here in Washington last weekend, as predicted in HUMAN EVENTS for May 5 on the basis of previous pronunciamentos by Walter Reuther, president of the United Automobile Workers, vice-president of the AFL-CIO, a founder and now vice-chairman of ADA and one of the most politically active of all union bosses.

ADA agreed that it will go along with either of the three front runners for the Democratic presidential nomination but that it will accept no one any less liberal than the Stevenson-Kefauver-Harriman trio. Speeches made it clear that nomination of a moderate such as Johnson would find ADA sitting out the campaign. They also made it clear that their program will be designed to deliberately urge southerners to take a walk.

From the viewpoint of sincere Democrats who hope to see their party remain intact, the unfortunate fact is that even though it claims a membership of only 45,000, ADA's leaders exercise sufficient influence within the party nationally so that they probably will be able to ram their program through the convention.

Its leaders include such notoriously Leftist figures as Reuther, Michigan's Governor G. Mennen Williams, Minnesota's Senator Hubert Humphrey, Mrs. Eleanor Roosevelt and many others of similar ilk. Functioning for the first time in 1948, they forced a drastic civil rights' plank through the Democratic convention and booed lustily when southern delegates left the hall.

They agreed to a compromise in 1952 which allowed southerners to stay through the convention but have determined on the no-compromise program this year in an obvious move to cement their dictatorial control of the party, even though it may assure defeat for the Democratic presidential ticket in November.

Judicial Legislation: James F. Byrnes, who in a full life has been Representative, Senator, Supreme Court Justice, Secretary of State and most recently Governor of South Carolina, sounds the tocsin against the shape of things to come if the Supreme Court is allowed to continue its present road, unchecked.

"Usurpation of power," he says of the Court's school integration decisions in an article in *U.S. News and World Report.* Reviewing generally the recent trends of the Court of which he once was a member, he opines it "must be curbed" lest the powers of the states be destroyed completely. (See "Leftward Court," HUMAN EVENTS' news story for April 28.)

By design or by accident, Byrnes' broadside coincides with inauguration of a concerted drive at the Capitol for enactment of a so-called "states'

rights" bill, which would reverse the relatively new Supreme Court practice known as the "pre-emption rule." It was brought forcibly to public attention in the decision outlawing state sedition laws.

Virginia's Senator Harry F. Byrd, in a Senate speech last week, defined the theory as holding that "when Congress enacts legislation on a subject, the Court may presume that Congress intends to take exclusive jurisdiction in the field, and state laws on the subject are nullified." One of the 12 Senate sponsors of the states' rights bill, he said it "would tell the Federal Supreme Court that when Congress intends a Federal law to invalidate all state laws in the same field it will say so, and otherwise the Court is not to presume such intention."

As reported previously by HUMAN EVENTS, the bill originally was introduced in 1954 by Representative Howard W. Smith of Virginia. Since the sedition decision, however, other measures have been introduced which would apply only to validating state sedition laws. One such bill was approved last week by the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee.

Next week, the Senate Judiciary Committee will be faced with deciding on this sedition measure or the more generalized states' rights bill, on which brief hearings are being held by a Judiciary subcommittee headed by Senator John McClellan of Arkansas, another of its co-sponsors. Guesses are that the full Committee will attempt to combine the two measures.

Education Front: President Eisenhower (meaning the Palace Guard) wants to know whether the U.S. Treasury can come to the aid of "higher education." To help him decide on that point, and on recommendations he might make to Congress, he has ordered the setting up of a "briefing" panel. Whether or not the panel has as yet been set up, or where and how it will conduct its operations, we do not know. We do know, however, the name of the man who has been chosen to select the members of the group and, presumably, to run it. This is important, for it is certain that the views of this man, his general philosophy, will guide him in the selection of his co-workers, and will have a bearing on the recommendations the panel will present to the President. His name is John J. Corson.

In 1950, Mr. Corson addressed the National Conference on Social Work at Atlantic City, a conference called "The Social Welfare Forum"; his paper, entitled "A Point of View Regarding Government's Role" is reprinted in the hard-to-get official proceedings. The tenor of this paper — and an inkling into Mr. Corson's pattern of thought — is provided in the following paragraph:

> **"As things stand today, government alone can provide the security that families, churches and charitable agencies did in the past. The pension programs provided by employers and labor will constitute nothing more than the frosting on the cake. Government must provide basic security, and this means a frank guarantee of a minimum of well-being for every individual, not alone for a fifth of the people at the bottom of the scale."**

It is a reasonable inference that the panel will consist of people who have the same welfare-state philosophy which obviously Mr. Corson has, and one can pretty well guess at the character of the recommendations the President will get from it.

Nothing has appeared in the public press about the panel or Mr. Corson's appointment, and only a few curious Congressmen know anything about the matter. The appointment is not subject to Congressional approval, since he — and the expenses of the panel — will be paid out of the emergency funds of the President.

Book Front: *Social Security: Fact and Fancy*, by Dillard Stokes, Henry Regnery Co., Chicago, Ill., $4, is an astonishing new book by a veteran Washington reporter who exposes the fallacies and inequities of the Federal Government's most popular hoax. Several million Americans, says the author, are paying for benefits they'll never receive. Examining case histories from Government files which have long been kept secret, Mr. Stokes shows how the administrators have changed Social Security from an insurance to a pension — and in so doing have deliberately made it an instrument for the redistribution of property.

LETTERS FROM COLLEGE STUDENTS

Karl Balbach — Massachusetts Institute of Technology: "I find HUMAN EVENTS interesting and enlightening. I sincerely hope that I will be able to receive your publication next fall."

Edward R. Mullody — Johns Hopkins University: "HUMAN EVENTS is of invaluable service to me as a student and a citizen. It is also of some encouragement (to one of a political minority of conservatives on campus) to read in HUMAN EVENTS about the efforts of men to uphold the principles of conservatism against growing socialism. I hope that HUMAN EVENTS will be sent to me again next year, and thank you for the gift subscriptions of three years past."

Matt Diana — University of Cincinnati: "I have been receiving HUMAN EVENTS since last November and how I got along without it previously is beyond me. It is the finest, most eye-opening newsletter in existence. Having just recently been elected president of my Fraternity (Sigma Chi), I hope to spread the ideas and ideals of HUMAN EVENTS to as many of the brothers as possible."

Arthur Ziegler, Jr. — University of Pittsburgh: "I am receiving your publication through the courtesy of the Intercollegiate Society of Individualists. HUMAN EVENTS has been a tremendous help to me in a number of ways, the latest being the assistance it provided for a thesis I just completed tonight on the demerits of the U.S. Foreign Aid program. Someday I hope to repay you. It is certainly great of you to help us out."

Donald Reed — Los Angeles State College: "I definitely have enjoyed reading HUMAN EVENTS, so much so that it has become a major part of my 'required reading' for the week. It is a relief to find a non-compromising conservative publication still printed. I wish for the continuance of my ISI subscription."

Richard D. Karfunkle — Cornell University: "The role you serve is a valuable one. I am in accord with many of your views in re: free enterprise and the responsibilities and duties of the Federal Government. I appreciate the opportunity given to me by ISI to receive this complimentary subscription to HUMAN EVENTS and I hope that my subscription will be extended through the summer and into the next academic year."

E. Tiah — Eastern Nazerene College: "I am a student from the British Empire. From the time I began reading HUMAN EVENTS I have found it to be a very reliable source of first hand information. I can say most emphatically that it provides wholesome and sincere facts and deserves nothing less than its excellence."

J. D. Futch — Johns Hopkins University: "HUMAN EVENTS seems to cover a number of stories which the press of the nation, by and large, ignores 'accidentally on purpose.' Your newsletter is very frank in its treatment of political and especially social problems. HUMAN EVENTS is to be commended for its opposition to the tendency of many so-called 'liberals' to interpret freedom of the individual as the freedom to submit to the direction of his private affairs by an all-powerful and allegedly all-beneficent Federal Government. I hardly need add that I believe that the ISI is performing an invaluable service in making HUMAN EVENTS available to college and university students, a group which it is particularly important to acquaint with your point of view."

Joseph H. Minard — University of Pittsburgh: "I find your penetrating reviews of the political, economic, labor, and international climates very illuminating. Your labor reports have been very helpful, for I am a graduate student of labor relations at the University of Pittsburgh. After reading HUMAN EVENTS, I take pleasure in passing it on to fellow students and professors. I would appreciate your continuing my subscription."

Robert G. Gordon—Enlisted Student Detachment, 4054th ASU, Fort Bliss, Texas: "In this day of compromise and selling out of our great ideals, HUMAN EVENTS gives many people heart to see the stand you are taking. The factual information and logic you present to your readers make it possible for them to present the argument for conservatism in a winning way. Keep up the good work!"

Maynard F. Swanson, Jr. — Grinnell College: "Besides being a very enjoyable piece of literature, I find HUMAN EVENTS a very informative source of news of interest to conservatives. Please allow me to commend you on a job well done and express a wish that I might be allowed to continue your very worthy newsletter next year, too."

Robert Wolfe — Taylor University: "I respect the way in which HUMAN EVENTS supports the conservatives of both political parties and refrains from becoming a one-party organ. I like the idea behind the 'For America' movement. Too many publications supporting the Republican party only find themselves forced to back 'liberal' candidates no different than 'liberals' with another political name. Although I am not in strict agreement with every opinion of HUMAN EVENTS, I find it helpful in strengthening and formulating my own opinions. I just finished writing a political science paper opposing the professor's support of 'one-world government.' As I am a college student without much ready cash, I will appreciate being placed on your mailing list for next year when I will be a junior."

William J. Ackerman — Iowa State College: "I first joined the ISI in the latter part of September, 1955 and began receiving HUMAN EVENTS. Starting with the second week, I stopped whatever I was doing when the mail arrived and immediately read all eight pages of HUMAN EVENTS. I consider your publication to be one of my primary sources of information and would appreciate it very much if I could continue receiving it until I graduate next year, at which time I plan to become a regular subscriber."

Donald Tinder — Florida College student: "I would like to say that I enjoy receiving HUMAN EVENTS very much. I read every word of every issue and I have found the copies most useful in my debate work and in discussion groups."

TRIAL SUBSCRIPTION to HUMAN EVENTS for new subscribers only: 6 weeks for $1. Yearly rate, $10; 6 months, $6; 3 months, $3. By first class mail, $12 a year; by airmail (including APO and FPO) $14 a year. FOREIGN: by regular mail, $13 a year; airmail, $18. Allow us 2 weeks to process new subscriptions and changes of address. 1955 Bound Annual Volume with complete Index $15. All Bound Volumes for years prior to 1955 have been sold. The Declaration of Independence opens: "When in the course of HUMAN EVENTS . . ."

FRANK C. HANIGHEN, *Editor and Publisher* JAMES L. WICK, *Executive Publisher*

Contributing Editors: FRANK CHODOROV, WILLIS J. BALLINGER

HUMAN EVENTS, 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C., is a weekly newsletter reporting from Washington on politics, business, labor and taxes. It was founded in 1944 by Frank C. Hanighen. Published usually in two sections: a 4-page news section and a 4-page article section. Entered as second class matter at Washington, D. C. Copyright 1956.

Volume XIII, No.20 HUMAN EVENTS May 19, 1956

Article Section

THE NEW WAR AGAINST DESPOTISM

By DEAN CLARENCE E. MANION

DESPOTISM never advertises itself as such. Invariably it is a wolf in sheep's clothing. By its own definition, it will be "democratic," "progressive," "liberal," "humanitarian" and "fraternal." Those who oppose it will be called reactionaries, Fascists and other currently bad names. It is not by its name, therefore, that you shall know Absolutism, but by what it proposes to do. Whenever relaxation of existing limitations upon the power of government is suggested, you are upon notice that your liberty is threatened. Unless you counterattack swiftly and sharply you are likely to be denatured.

Proper vigilance against Despotism is particularly difficult when the proponents of the all-powerful State deliberately appropriate the language of liberalism. Traditionally, a liberal was a person who believed in the rights of man against government. Recently, however, the professed liberal is one who wishes in one way or another to liberate government from its natural and Constitutional limitations. This process of governmental liberation takes different forms at different times. In recent years, the process has taken the form of taxing and spending by the Federal Government. The successful use of this form of governmental liberation constitutes the most serious threat ever made against the maintenance of Constitutional limitations in the United States.

Unless Constitutional limitations upon the spending powers of Congress are effectively and speedily established, all other Constitutional limitations will soon be swept aside in our constantly accelerated drive toward centralized socialism. Money is power; and when the materialistic concept controls, unlimited money is unlimited power.

Today, big and complicated Government has a hand in everybody's business and another in every person's pocket. These hands are moved by relatively obscure people tucked away here and there throughout the fathomless mazes of Government's bulging bureaucracy. The brooding Government omnipresence is an open invitation to those who wish to use one or more of Government's complicated processes for unfair advantage over their neighbors. Strings are pulled, leaks of information are accomplished, investigations are launched, all to the irreparable damage of many people — but all according to law.

It is useless to claim personal rights or "states' rights" against a Federal Government that is able to subsidize with unlimited money any form of local activity that it favors while it withholds such rewards from similar activities that fail to meet the required Federal specifications. In the unchallenged exercise of its limitless power to spend and lend, Congress can buy its way into complete centralized control of such matters as health, education, insurance, agriculture, banking and manufacturing. It may subsidize newspapers and magazines with an obvious effect upon freedom of the press. By any extension of the established practice of "grants-in-aid" to the States, it can and does reduce the time-honored

Published weekly at 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C.
Copyright 1956 by HUMAN EVENTS, INC.

exercise of State Sovereignty to the role of local agent for the big Federal boss.

Such procedures are good politics with candidates who are more interested in attaining office than they are in preserving the essentials of Americanism. To such candidates every election is an auction where Federal appropriations are promised to the group with the greatest number of votes. "Ability to pay" is no longer a practical restraint upon Congressional spending. Our recent prolonged experience with wholesale deficit financing has shown an effective way for the Federal Government to spend what it does not have and that which *it never seriously expects to have.* The purpose of Federal taxes now is only incidentally to raise necessary revenue. The principal purpose behind most forms of the many Federal excises is to rearrange and control accumulations of private wealth and to level the peaks and valleys of the social and economic terrain. This is not American freedom.

It is Socialistic regimentation by a Government that has shaken loose from its Constitutional limitations.

How has American Government thus managed to escape the powerful and strict Constitutional limitations placed upon it by the Founding Fathers? The answer is found in the changed attitude of the American people. In recent years we have been educated to believe that the general welfare requires a strong centralized Government with practically unlimited powers. Of course, this attitude violates the logic of our own glorious history and ignores the tragic failures of centralized Government elsewhere in the world. Nevertheless, the present "scholarly" development of American Constitutional Law has reached this conclusion:

Law is what Government does. If you don't like what the Government does, you write and talk against it and vote against it at the next election. Your speech, writing and vote must be free from governmental interference, but beyond that you are free to do only what Government allows you to do.

The whole field of American liberty has thus been reduced to free speech and a free vote. To reach this absurd conclusion, the "scholars" have found it necessary to ridicule what they term the "pious absolutes" of the Declaration of Independence while they pay a cheap lip service to the American Constitutional system. The fact that they have managed to give this conclusion an academic respectability demonstrates the dangerous power of Absolutism when it wears a clever false face.

It is not that Americanism no longer has defenders — the mails, the magazines, the radio and television waves are all heavily freighted with arguments for the American Way. However, the arguments always boil down to a defense of the American system in terms of Private Enterprise. The approach is that of an exhaustive statistical balance sheet of material assets and liabilities. They tell us that the American system must be preserved because:

"We have more bathtubs here per square inch than Russia has per square mile; that we have practically all the private telephones and automobiles in the world together with the highest material standard of living ever attained by any people at any time in history."

Now all this is well and good, true enough and very important. But, the American system will never be saved through the pulling power of such evidence. If — God save the mark — we should ever lose these purely material advantages, then, by the clear implication of all of these arguments, the American system should be scrapped.

For the sake of argument we could stipulate away the bathtubs, the telephones, the auto-

mobiles, and the standard of living to the Russians or the Chinese and still prove that despite these material losses, the American Constitutional system will continue to be the most sublime pattern for human society that has ever been or can be devised. We did not have any of these material advantages in America in 1776; nevertheless the founders of our country readily risked their lives, fortunes and sacred honor for the legal establishment of what they knew was a *sound principle of Eternal truth.*

THE material things, the bathtubs, automobiles—the standard of living—these things are the *consequences* of the American system. They are conclusive evidence to show the workability and serviceability of the basic American principle which is the source and the cause of our comparative comfort and prosperity. That basic causative American principle is the important consideration in the great debate, and the principle is this —

AN UNCOMPROMISING AND UNCOMPROMISED DEMAND FOR THE FREEDOM AND INDEPENDENCE OF THE INDIVIDUAL MAN. IF THE MAN IS FREE AND INDEPENDENT THE MATERIAL THINGS WILL ACCRUE TO HIM AND FLOW INTO HIS SOCIETY AS A MATTER OF COURSE.

That was the thesis of the Founding Fathers. It is the genius of our Constitutional system. That system is not the best merely because the great majority of our people love the institution of private property and fear and hate Communism. If the Communistic threat is to be liquidated, Communism must be defeated on *principle.* It will never be defeated by making those very social, economic and political concessions to socialism which Karl Marx advocated as necessary prerequisites to the ultimate establishment of Communism itself.

It isn't necessary for a man to have a job or a second shirt for his back before he can become devoted to and be depended upon to support the American principle of personal liberty, although such a man should and probably would have both. The love of and necessity for personal liberty is a part of each man's God-given nature. It is a birthright which only the grossly misinformed will sell for a mess of materialistic pottage.

In instituting the system of firmly and expressly limited Government, the Founding Fathers defied all the precedents of history and committed a positive affront to the persistent and perennial ruler of men — *Despotism.* They knew that every Government is by its nature an incipient tyranny and that no number of Constitutional chains can effectively restrain it where the people are not continuously alert to the all important necessity for its continued restraint.

The all-powerful State has definite designs upon the one place on earth where the formula for effective resistance to it was conceived, established and justified in practice. That one place is America. We have examined this American formula in specification and detail. At this point we need only to recall that the substance of the formula is

continuous, strict and closely guarded limitations upon the power of Government.

OURS is the task of re-educating the public in the essentiality and desirability of maintaining and strengthening limitations upon Government in the interest of preserving necessary human freedom. Remember that where Government is unlimited no citizen is free. All-powerful Government is a working synonym for tyranny. Our forefathers knew this and consequently they made *the limitation of Government* the controlling feature of the American Constitutional system. Each section and each branch of Government was made into a limitation upon the other. This was certainly not

done in the interest of streamlined efficiency — quite the contrary. It was done deliberately to slow down governmental action in the interest of the freedom and security of the individual citizen. All human Governments are constantly and naturally striving for an increase of their powers. American Government has been no exception. Constitutional limitations are consequently subject to an ever-increasing strain upon their effectiveness.

This is the story that needs to be amplified around the world — into the ears of hungry, depressed and oppressed Poles, Yugoslavs, Italians, Czechs, Rumanians, Greeks, Frenchmen, Britains, Bulgarians, Chinese and particularly the Russians. This is the saga of man's spirit released from the satanic bondage of political materialism. This is the *substance* of Americanism. A bloody Revolution was necessary to establish it. A desperate Civil War was necessary to maintain it. May God grant that the time never comes, but if it becomes essential, other wars must be fought to preserve and perpetuate this exclusive and Priceless Heritage, for it is — the Key to Peace.

This article is gleaned from the dynamic and inspiring book by the former Dean of the Notre Dame Law School, *Key to Peace* (published by the Heritage Foundation, Inc., 75 East Wacker Drive, Chicago, Ill.). In adopting this book as an official part of its Americanism program, the American Legion stated: "Dean Manion's historic document *The Key to Peace,* in its directness and its simplicity, ranks with the Gettysburg Address and the Declaration of Independence. It is easy to read, easy to understand."

Additional copies of this 4-page Article: single copy 20¢ (coins, not stamps); 10 copies $1; 25 copies $2; 100 copies $5; 500 copies $20; 1,000 copies $30. In 5,000 lots $20 per thousand.

HUMAN EVENTS was founded in 1944 by Frank C. Hanighen. It is published weekly at 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C., and reports from Washington on politics, business, labor and taxes. It is usually published in two parts: a 4-page news section and a 4-page article. $10 per year.

TRIAL SUBSCRIPTIONS for new subscribers only: 6 weeks for $1.

Radio Front: Clarence Manion now is broadcasting through the 72 stations listed below. If no station in your vicinity is listed, visit one of your community stations and ask the owner to carry the broadcast.

Anniston, Ala.	WSPC	See Newsp. Log
Birmingham, Ala.	WILD	Tues. 6:15 P.M.
Cullman, Ala.	WKUL	See Newsp. Log
Decatur, Ala.	WMSL	See Newsp. Log
Florence, Ala.	WJOI	See Newsp. Log
Haleyville, Ala.	WJBB	See Newsp. Log
Huntsville, Ala.	WBHP	See Newsp. Log
Muscle Shoals, Ala.	WLAY	See Newsp. Log
Sylacauga, Ala.	WFEB	See Newsp. Log
Talledega, Ala.	WHTB	See Newsp. Log
Mena, Ark.	KENA	See Newsp. Log
Los Angeles, Cal.	KHJ	Sun. 8:00 P.M.
San Francisco, Cal.	KFRC	Sun. 8:15 P.M.
Denver, Colo.	KIMN	Sun. 7:45 P.M.
Leadville, Colo.	KLVC	Sun. 7:30 P.M.
Washington, D. C.	WWDC	Sun. 9:30 P.M.
West Palm Beach, Fla.	WIRK	Sun. 9:30 P.M.
Americus, Ga.	WDEC	Sun. 9:30 P.M.
Chicago, Ill.	WGN	Sun. 9:00 P.M.
Kewanee, Ill.	WKEI	See Newsp. Log
LaSalle, Ill.	WLPO	See Newsp. Log
Bloomington, Ind.	WTTS	Sun. 9:15 P.M.
Ft. Wayne, Ind.	WGL	Sun. 9:30 P.M.
Indianapolis, Ind.	WIBC	Sun. 9:45 P.M.
South Bend, Ind.	WSBT	Sun. 10:15 P.M.
Davenport, Iowa	KSTT	Sun. 9:30 P.M.
Danville, Ky.	WHIR	Sun. 8:30 P.M.
Louisville, Ky.	WGRC	Thur. 6:30 P.M.
Lake Charles, La.	KAOK	Sun. 8:30 P.M.
New Orleans, La.	WTPS	Tues. 6:45 P.M.
Detroit, Mich.	WXYZ	Sun. 6:15 P.M.
Escanaba, Mich.	WDBC	Sun. 9:30 P.M.
Saginaw, Mich.	WSGW	See Newsp. Log
Beatrice, Nebr.	KWBE	Sun. 8:30 P.M.
Lincoln, Nebr.	KLIN	Sun. 8:30 P.M.
Omaha, Nebr.	KBON	Sun. 10:15 P.M.
Alamagordo, N. Mex.	KALG	See Newsp. Log
New York, N.Y.	WOR	Sun. 9:30 P.M.
Rochester, N.Y.	WBBF	Sun. 10:45 P.M.
Watertown, N.Y.	WATN	Sun. 9:30 P.M.
Bismarck, N. Dak.	KGCU	See Newsp. Log
Devil's Lake, N. Dak.	KDLR	Sun. 8:30 P.M.
Jamestown, N. Dak.	KEYJ	Sun. 8:30 P.M.
Bellaire, Ohio	WTRF	Sun. 9:30 P.M.
Cincinnati, Ohio	WKRC	Mon. 9:05 P.M.
Cleveland, Ohio	WERE	Sun. 10:45 P.M.
Columbus, Ohio	WTVN	Tues. 7:15 P.M.
Ironton, Ohio	WIRO	Sun. 9:30 P.M.
Marietta, Ohio	WMOA	Sun. 9:30 P.M.
Oklahoma City, Okla.	KOCY	Sun. 8:30 P.M.
Tulsa, Okla.	KOME	Sun. 8:30 P.M.
Portland, Ore.	KPOJ	Sun. 9:15 P.M.
Pittsburgh, Pa.	WJAS	Tues. 9:35 P.M.
St. Marys, Pa.	WKBI	See Newsp. Log
Conway, S. C.	WLAT	Sun. 9:30 P.M.
Dyersburg, Tenn.	WDSG	Sun. 8:30 P.M.
LaFollette, Tenn.	WLAF	See Newsp. Log
Knoxville, Tenn.	WKGN	Tues. 7:15 P.M.
Dallas, Texas	WRR	Sun. 8:30 P.M.
Ft. Worth, Texas	KFJZ	Sun. 10:15 P.M.
Houston, Texas	KTHT	Sun. 8:30 P.M.
Huntsville, Texas	KSAM	Sun. 10:00 P.M.
Pampa, Texas	KPDN	See Newsp. Log
San Angelo, Texas	KTXL	See Newsp. Log
Clifton Forge, Va.	WCFV	See Newsp. Log
Norfolk, Va.	WLOW	See Newsp. Log
Seattle, Wash.	KVI	Sun. 8:45 P.M.
Wheeling, W. Va.	WTRF	See Newsp. Log
Beloit, Wis.	WGEZ	See Newsp. Log
Green Bay, Wis.	WJPG	Sun. 8:30 P.M.
Manitowoc, Wis.	WOMT	Sun. 8:30 P.M.
Rice Lake, Wis.	WJMC	See Newsp. Log

The Manion program is carried intermittently, on a sustaining basis, by many additional stations. Time of broadcasts is subject to change. Please watch newspaper radio logs.